

## Checklist for HRS Internal Review of SI Worksheets

Site: TRW DOF Div (Fmr)  
 Address: Newton, MA  
 J.O.: 05000-3009  
 CERCLIS: MAD001032671  
 TDD: 9704-10-CSX

Site Manager: Lisa White  
 Worksheets Reviewed by: Rose Perry  
 Date Reviewed: 9/2/97

- ☒ Are all possible sources identified (from previous SI, site history, site reconnaissance)? Discharges from on-site treatment systems are sources - are these identified, if they apply?
- ☒ Overland/flood migration for surface water pathway must always be evaluated unless the source meets the containment criteria; was this pathway scored? Is the proper tier used to evaluate each source? Was the surface water pathway evaluated for all sources (including seeps as sources)?
- ☐ For samples discussed, is it indicated whether a full analyses was performed or not, and why? (i.e. metals)  
*Need to specify analyses run in your sampling discussion*
- ☒ Check for ambiguous or judgemental language - descriptions should be quantitative and factual, not qualitative.
- ☒ Were all assumptions stated?
- ☒ Are assumptions clearly stated in the "notes" sections of the worksheets?
- ☒ Are assumptions stated consistent with each other and the scoring?
- ☒ Are sources of information identified and correct?
- ☐ Are the conclusions of contractors or others used in our justifications? (They should not)  
*See comment p23*



SEMS DocID

595823



- ☐ For the site sketches, check for consistency with narrative and source identifications, be sure to have north arrow pointing up or towards the binding, and that a legend is provided, if necessary. Also, are sampling locations identified? *Not all sampling locations shown*
- ☒ Check for numbers chosen to be boxed in on tables such as SI Table 6a. *Inconsistencies between text + figure on names of bldgs*  
*also "process" vs "cobling" well*  
*also "cistern" vs "pit"*
- ☒ Check the groundwater supply well data table - is the Frost data used correctly here? Is more accurate information available and used instead, and do the numbers make sense with the description given in the narrative for water supplies and the groundwater pathway?
- ☐ Were all chemicals
  - a) known to be on site
  - b) known to be used in mfg process
  - c) found during sampling

identified on Table 3

Even if they were not used for scoring, they should be identified.

*Table 3 needs clarification*

#### General:

- ☒ Check math
- ☒ Aesthetics - check that tables are reduced to fit on one page, if possible; spelling; typographical errors.
- ☒ Was the highest score possible achieved? *see comments*

